	Application No.	Applicant(s)
. Interview Summary	10/072,872	KANAMORI ET AL.
into vion danna, y	Examiner	Art Unit
	Long Nguyen	2816
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Long Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) attorney Rustan Hill.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>04 October 2005</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all claims</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Branson et al. (USP 5,508,664)</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)☑ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the 112 rejection</u> , and applicant's representative will provide an <u>amendment/argument to overcome the 112 rejection</u> . The Examiner will fully consider the formal <u>amendment/argument</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	Loyrogy	10/4/65
	LONG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXA <del>MIN</del>	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signat	ture, if required